LearnLab
Pittsburgh Science of Learning Center

Cognitive Principles in Tutor & e-Learning Design

Ken Koedinger
Human-Computer Interaction & Psychology
Carnegie Mellon University

CMU Director of the Pittsburgh Science of Learning Center

LearnLab
Pittsburgh Science of Learning Center

KLI: Instructional principles derived from experiments



Koedinger et al. (2012). The Knowledge-Learning-Instruction (KLI) framework: Bridging the science-practice chasm to enhance robust student learning. *Cognitive Science*.

LearnLab
Pittsburgh Science of Learning Center

Lots of Lists of Principles 1

- Cognitive Tutor Principles
  - Koedinger, K. R. & Corbett, A. T. (2006). Cognitive Tutors: Technology bringing learning science to the classroom. *Handbook of the Learning Sciences*.
  - Anderson, J. R., Corbett, A. T., Koedinger, K. R., & Pelletier, R. (1995). Cognitive tutors: Lessons learned. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 4 (2), 167-207.
- Multimedia & eLearning Principles
  - Mayer, R. E. (2001). *Multimedia Learning*. Cambridge University Press.
  - Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2003). *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- How People Learn Principles
  - Donovan, M. S., Bransford, J. D., & Pellegrino, J.W. (1999). *How people learn: Bridging research and practice*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Progressive Abstraction or "Bridging" Principles
  - Koedinger, K. R. (2002). Toward evidence for instructional design principles: Examples from Cognitive Tutor Math 6. Invited paper in *Proceedings of PME-NA*.
- Other lists on the web …
  - See learnlab.org/research/wiki

Principles on web: See learnlab.org/research/wiki



Overview

- ***Cognitive Tutor Principles***
- Multimedia Principles
  - Theoretical & Experimental evidence
- Building on prior knowledge
  - Need empirical methods to apply
- Summary and Future Challenge

Cognitive Tutor Principles

1. Represent student competence as a production set
2. Provide instruction in the problem-solving context
3. Communicate the goal structure underlying the problem solving
4. Promote an abstract understanding of the problem-solving knowledge
5. Minimize working memory load
6. Provide immediate feedback on errors

1. Represent student competence as a production set

- Accurate model of target skill to:
  - Inform design of
    - Curriculum scope & sequence, interface, error feedback & hints, problem selection & promotion
  - Interpret student actions in tutor
- Knowledge decomposition!
  - Identify the components of learning

6. Provide immediate feedback on errors

- Productions are learned from the examples that are the product of problem solving
- Benefits:
  - Cuts down time students spend in error states
  - Eases interpretation of student problem solving steps
- Evidence: LISP Tutor
- *Smart* delayed feedback can be helpful
  - Excel Tutor

Feedback Studies in LISP Tutor (Corbett & Anderson, 1991)



Time to Complete Programming Problems in LISP Tutor

Immediate Feedback
Vs
Student-Controlled Feedback

Tutoring Self-Correction of Errors

- Recast delayed vs. immediate feedback debate as contrasting "*model of desired performance*"
- Expert Model
  - Goal: students should not make errors
- Intelligent Novice Model
  - Goal: students can make some errors, but recognize them & take action to self-correct
- *Both* provide *immediate feedback*
  - Relative to different models of desired performance

Mathan, S. & Koedinger, K. R. (2003). Recasting the feedback debate: Benefits of tutoring error detection and correction skills. In Hoppe, Verdejo, & Kay (Eds.), *Proceedings of Artificial Intelligence in Education* (pp. 13-18). Amsterdam, IOS Press. [Best Student Paper.]

Intelligent Novice Condition Learns More



Learning Curves: Difference Between Conditions Emerges Early

- Number of attempts at a step by opportunities to apply a production rule



Overview

- Cognitive Tutor Principles
- ***Multimedia Principles***
  - Theoretical & Experimental evidence
- Instructional Bridging Principles
  - Need empirical methods to apply
- Summary and Future Challenge

Media Element Principles of E-Learning

1. Multimedia
2. Contiguity
3. Coherence
4. Modality
5. Redundancy
6. Personalization



Cognitive Processing of Instructional Materials

- Instructional material is:
  - Processed by our eyes or ears
  - Stored in corresponding working memory (WM)
- Must be integrated to develop an understanding
- Stored in long term memory



Multimedia Principle

Which is better for student learning?

A. Learning from words and pictures

B. Learning from words alone

Example: Description of how lightning works with or without a graphic

A. Words & pictures

Why?

Students can mentally build both a verbal & pictorial model & then make connections between them

Coherence Principle

Which is better for student learning?

A. When extraneous, entertaining material is included

B. When extraneous, entertaining material is excluded

Example: Including a picture of an airplane being struck by lightning

B. Excluded

Why?

Extraneous material competes for cognitive resources in working memory and diverts attention from the important material

Modality Principle

Which is better for student learning?

A. Spoken narration & animation

B. On-screen text & animation

Example: Verbal description of lightning process is presented either in audio or text

A. Spoken narration & animation

Why?

Presenting text & animation at the same time can overload visual working memory & leaves auditory working memory unused.

Working Memory Explanation of Modality

- When visual information is being explained, better to present words as audio narration than onscreen text





Summary of Media Element Principles of E-Learning

1. Multimedia: Present both words & pictures
2. Contiguity: Present words within picture near relevant objects
3. Coherence: Exclude extraneous material
4. Modality: Use spoken narration rather than written text along with pictures
5. Redundancy: Do not include text & spoken narration along with pictures
6. Personalization: Use a conversational rather than a formal style of instruction

Scientific Evidence (mostly lab) that Principles Work

Summary of Research Results from the Six Media Elements Principles. (From Mayer, 2001)

Principle	Percent Gain	Effect Size	Number of Tests
Multimedia	89	1.50	9 of 9
Contiguity	68	1.20	5 of 5
Coherence	82	1.17	10 of 11
Modality	80	1.17	4 of 4
Redundancy	79	1.24	2 of 2
Personalization	67	1.24	5 of 5

Applying principles depends on a quality domain analysis

- Example: See Davenport pages on PSLC wiki
- Three studies indicate dependency
  - Applied multimedia principle in College Chemistry course -- added diagrams to existing text
    - No impact on learning!
  - Did cognitive task analysis of domain & redesigned course materials
    - Big impact on learning!
  - Reapplied multimedia principle with new materials -- added diagrams to modified text
    - New principle worked: Big impact on learning

Overview

- Cognitive Tutor Principles
- Multimedia Principles
  - Theoretical & Experimental evidence
- ***Building on prior knowledge***
  - Need empirical methods to apply
- Summary and Future Challenge

How People Learn Principles

How People Learn book

1. Build on prior knowledge
2. Connect facts & procedures with concepts
3. Support meta-cognition



Bransford, Brown, & Cocking (1999). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience and school*. D.C.: National Academy Press.

But:
What prior knowledge do students have?
How can instruction best build on this knowledge?

Algebra Student Results: Story Problems are Easier!



Koedinger & Nathan (2004). The real story behind story problems: Effects of representations on quantitative reasoning. In *International Journal of the Learning Sciences*.

What do these results imply for instruction?

a. Focus instruction on story problems
b. Focus instruction on equations
c. Start with story then go to equations
d. There are no direct implications
e. Other

Support for option b.

Focus instruction on equations

- Studies showing *abstract instruction* yields better transfer
  Kaminski, Sloutsky, & Heckler (2008). The advantage of learning abstract examples in learning math. *Science*.
- Abstractions help students develop deeper encodings
  – Deep encodings enhance transfer
- Concreteness can tempt students into shallow inferences

Support for option c.

Start with story then go to equations

- Studies showing *concrete to abstract instruction* yields better transfer
  Goldstone, R. L., & Son, J. Y. (2005). The transfer of scientific principles using concrete and idealized simulations. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*.
- "Initial concrete grounding facilitates interpretation of model elements"
- Subsequent abstract rep aids induction & refinement of deep features

Training

Domain: Competitive Specialization







Other evidence for bridging from concrete to abstract



…Plumbing Co. charges $42 per hour plus $35 for the service call
1. …. write an expression …
2. How much for a 3 hour call?
3. What will bill be for 4.5 hours?
4. Find hours when bill is $140

1. 35 + 42h = d

2. 35 + 42*3 = 161
3. 35 + 42*4.5 = 224

4. 35 + 42h = 140

2. 35 + 42*3 = 161
3. 35 + 42*4.5 = 224

1. 35 + 42h = d

4. 35 + 42h = 140

Koedinger, K. R., & Anderson, J. R. (1998). Illustrating principled design: The early evolution of a cognitive tutor for algebra symbolization. *Interactive Learning Environments*.

LearnLab
Pittsburgh Science of Learning Center

Do concrete tasks always provide more assistance than abstract ones?

That is, are concrete tasks always easier than matched abstract tasks?

LearnLab
Pittsburgh Science of Learning Center

Which is easier, situation or analogous abstract problem?

	Decimal place value	Decimal arithmetic	Factors & Multiples
Situation	Show 5 different ways that you can give Ben $4.07. [Place value table given.]	You had $8.72. Your grandmother gave you $25 for your birthday. How much money do you have now?	You work at a candy store. Your boss has asked you to figure out the different ways she could package the jelly beans and chocolate eggs, and she wants to know all the possible ways. If there are 64 jelly beans and 40 chocolate eggs and she wants each package to be the same, what are the different numbers of packages you could make?
Abstract	List 5 different ways to show the amount 4.07. [Place value table given.]	Add: 8.72 + 25	The common factors of 64 & 40 are:

LearnLab
Pittsburgh Science of Learning Center

Which is easier, situation or analogous abstract problem?

	Decimal place value	Decimal arithmetic	Factors & Multiples
Situation	Show 5 different ways that you can give Ben $4.07. [Place value table given.]	You had $8.72. Your grandmother gave you $25 for your birthday. How much money do you have now?	You work at a candy store. Your boss has asked you to figure out the different ways she could package the jelly beans and chocolate eggs, and she wants to know all the possible ways. If there are 64 jelly beans and 40 chocolate eggs and she wants each package to be the same, what are the different numbers of packages you could make?
%correct	**61%**	**65%**	20%
Abstract	List 5 different ways to show the amount 4.07. [Place value table given.]	Add: 8.72 + 25	The common factors of 64 & 40 are:
%correct	20%	35%	**37%**

Key Point:
Design principles require empirical methods to successfully implement

Overview

- Cognitive Tutor Principles
- Multimedia Principles
  - Theoretical & Experimental evidence
- Building on prior knowledge
  - Need empirical methods to apply
- ***Summary & Future Challenge***

Summary of Learning Principles

- Lots of lists of principles …
  - 6 Cognitive Tutor Principles
  - 6 Multimedia Principles
  - See PSLC wiki for others …
- Principles should be supported by *both:*
  - Cognitive theory
  - Experimental studies
- Need *Cognitive Task Analysis* to apply principles
  - Domain general principles are not enough
  - Need to study details of how students think & learn in the domain you are teaching

Future Challenge

What's the best form of instruction? Two choices?

- More help vs. more challenge
  - Basics vs. understanding
  - Education wars in reading, math, science…
- Psychology likes binary oppositions too. Just produces a lot more of them!
  - Massed vs. ***distributed*** (Pashler)
  - Study vs. ***test*** (Roediger)
  - ***Examples*** vs. problem-solving (Sweller)
  - Re-explain vs. ***ask for explanation*** (Renkl)
  - ***Immediate*** vs. ***delayed*** (Anderson vs. Bjork)
  - ***Concrete*** vs. ***abstract*** (Uttal)
  - ***Direct instruction*** vs. discovery learning (Klahr)
  - …





Koedinger & Aleven (2007). Exploring the assistance dilemma in experiments with Cognitive Tutors. *Educational Psychology Review*.

How many options are there really? And what works best when?



3^{15*2} = 205 trillion options

The challenge

Need an *instructional theory* that transcends this complexity

Must *integrate domain* theories

Will require *vast data* that *online in vivo* experiments can provide